Agents of Disruption

Al agents are the great Digital Equalizers

Raphael Cohen, Founder & CEO, moojo¹⁰



Big tech platforms built their moats with network effects. The AI-era monopolies will follow similar dynamics.



Big tech platforms built their moats with network effects. The AI-era monopolies will follow similar dynamics.

Antithesis

Al-era moats are shallower than we may think.

Thesis	Big tech platforms built their moats with network effects. The AI-era monopolies will follow similar dynamics.
Antithesis	Al-era moats are shallower than we may think.
Synthesis	There is still a possibility for monopolies to emerge around personalization and distribution.

Thesis	Big tech platforms built their moats with network effects. The AI-era monopolies will follow similar dynamics.
Antithesis	AI-era moats are shallower than we may think.
Synthesis	There is still a possibility for monopolies to emerge around personalization and distribution.
Mission	Open protocols and standardization can level the playing field.

Thesis	Big tech platforms built their moats with network effects. The AI-era monopolies will follow similar dynamics.
Antithesis	Al-era moats are shallower than we may think.
Synthesis	There is still a possibility for monopolies to emerge around personalization and distribution.
Mission	Open protocols and standardization can level the playing field.
Vision	In an agentic future, personalization and distribution are two sides of the same coin.

Thesis

Big tech platforms built their moats with network effects. The AI-era monopolies will follow similar dynamics. "Al's future hinges on who controls the data and infrastructure—big tech has the edge, and that's not changing anytime soon."

Marc Andreessen

It's the big tech monopoly all over again



The Attract → Extract Cycle of Web2 Monopolies



The Attract → Extract Cycle of Web2 Monopolies



The Attract → Extract Cycle of Web2 Monopolies



And in the era of Al...



And in the era of AI...



And in the era of Al...



Antithesis

Al-era moats are shallower than we may think.

1. Models are commoditized

- Pre-training has plateaued
- Training data is nearly identical
- Open Source models are awesome



Notes: Scores are out of 100. The models for each company that are measured: for OpenAI, o1; for Alibaba, Qwen 2.5 72B; for Meta, Llama 3.1 405B; for Anthropic, Claude 3.5 Sonnet. The tests used are HumanEval, MATH-500, MMLU and GPQA Diamond. **Source:** Artificial Analysis



How it started



How it started





(3) Third, moats move to the app layer. As others have remarked, the GPT wrappers may end up more defensible than the GPT model itself.

How it is going

2. Compute is cheap. Dirt cheap.

- Investors ask startups to ignore costs
- Drop much, much faster than Moore's law
- Owning compute is not an inference moat anymore



Cost of the Cheapest LLM with a Minimum MMLU Score (Log Scale)



3. Intelligence diffuses

- From Search to Research to Creation
- Very low switching costs
- Enterprise GenAl users use 8 apps monthly on average

Top Categories Among AI Consumer Apps, by Revenue





Low switching costs + good reasons to switch = low stickiness



Information

Low switching costs + good reasons to switch = low stickiness





So no one is turning the cosmos into paperclips



- 1. Model training has no significant moat
- 2. Inference becomes too cheap to measure
- Switching between apps still costs 0, but now have clear benefits

Intelligence will be ubiquitous and distributed



- Winners are the ones who create the most value over time
- 2. Your car is your driver \rightarrow Your X is your Y for any (X,Y)
- **3.** No one controls eyeballs, traffic, data, or information

Synthesis

There is still a possibility for monopolies to emerge around personalization and distribution.

MONOPOLIES MIGHT EMERGE



BECAUSE NETWORK EFFECTS COULD BE CREATED





TECHNICAL HURDLES



TECHNICAL HURDLES



NATURAL LANGUAGE AMBIGUITY



TECHNICAL HURDLES



NATURAL LANGUAGE AMBIGUITY



ONTOLOGY ALIGNMENT



Mission

Open protocols and standardization can level the playing field.

A paradigm shift for agentic data composability



A paradigm shift for agentic data composability





- authentication
- data origin & reputation
- structured & meaningful ontologies

Vision

In an agentic future, personalization and distribution are the same thing.

User agents will replace users



Personalization and Distribution are the same in the future

When valuable content blows up, user agents replace users.

Personalization and Distribution are the same in the future

When valuable content blows up, user agents replace users.

User agents' attention is a meaningless concept, so all current business models die.

Personalization and Distribution are the same in the future

When valuable content blows up, user agents replace users.

User agents' attention is a meaningless concept, so all current business models die.

Distribution depends on how business agents are good at talking with user agents (i.e. Personalization)

Distribution as an Agentic Transaction



Distribution as an Agentic Transaction





Distribution as an Agentic Transaction



Big tech platforms are disintermediated.

Compute, Data and Friction won't be enough to create monopolies.

Compute, Data and Friction won't be enough to create monopolies.

Al-era monopolies still might be created by user data silos and distribution moats.

Compute, Data and Friction won't be enough to create monopolies.

Al-era monopolies still might be created by user data silos and distribution moats.

Users will own agents, and shall own their data.

Compute, Data and Friction won't be enough to create monopolies.

Al-era monopolies still might be created by user data silos and distribution moats.

Users will own agents, and shall own their data.

If this happens, there won't be any data silos or distribution moats for big tech companies.



Thank you

Want to discuss the future of agentic marketing? Talk to me at **r@moojo.id**